

COMMON GRADING SCHEME (Clinical case reports and Clinical and Professional Reasoning Questions - Postgraduate)

March 2023 and is to be used for dinical case reports.

Each mark has a short descriptor and a full definition of what is to be expected of an answer that is assigned that mark. Only those percentages that appear with descriptors in the marking scheme are to be used; percentages that fall between these must not be used.

The descriptors indicate how marks should be allocated according to the standard of a piece of work in three different.

This

includes a reflective component which should be present in the dinical case reports. If the marks in the three different categories are not the same, the median of the three categorical marks should be allocated as the overall mark for the piece of work. This will ensure that the mark is a synthesis of the different aspects of the work and appears on the 17-point scale. Where an answer comprises entirely or almost entirely incorrect information, no credit will be given for Structure, Clarity and Presentation.

Updated: 25/04/2024 Page 1 of 5

Updated: 25/04/2024 Page 2 of 5

			000 G 1 100 1 0
Descriptor	Clinical Case Reports & CPRQ	Mark	CertAVP/PGDip /PGCert/VCP Grade
Deficient Answer	Selection & Coverage of Material Superficial coverage of clinical information and methods of practical work, and/or incomplete justification of clinical reasoning, and/or flawed by errors and/or omissions, and/or patient safety or welfare potentially compromised without explanation, and/or little comment on most observations and/or where relevant, a case which has been inappropriately selected can score no higher than 45% There is descriptive, superficial writing without evidence of reflection or introspection or a very poor level of reflection and no evidence of critical evaluation of information or application of theory to practice. and / or Understanding Likely to be inaccuracies in data analysis and/or interpretation and unexplained observations or assertions. Little or no evidence of original/innovative thought. Very limited reference to published work from authoritative sources. and / or Structure, Clarity & Presentation Adequate, although may not be entirely systematic.	45	Fail
Marginally Deficient			

Answer

Updated: 25/04/2024 **Page** 3 **of** 5

OGS OPRQPG

			000 G10010
Descriptor	Clinical Case Reports & CPRQ	Mark	CertAVP/PGDip /PGCert/VCP Grade
Good			
0000			

Updated: 25/04/2024 Page 4 of 5

Descriptor	Clinical Case Reports & CPRQ	Mark	CertAVP/PGDip /PGCert/VCP Grade
Exceptional Answer	Selection & Coverage of Material Exceptional depth of coverage with no identifiable errors or omissions. (A case which has been inappropriately selected can score no higher than 45%). î, +††; Exceptional powers of analysis, argument, synthesis and insight. Considerable evidence of extensive wider reading of an appropriate nature.	100	Distinction

Updated: 25/04/2024 Page 5 of 5